

Case No: OBC19-1104

JAN 26 2021
STATE BAR OF NEVADA
BY

OFFICE OF BAR COUNSEL

STATE BAR OF NEVADA

NORTHERN NEVADA DISCIPLINARY BOARD

STATE BAR OF NEVADA,)
Complainant,	
VS.	
AMY L. SUGDEN, ESQ., Nevada Bar No. 9983,	
Respondent.	

Amy L. Sugden, Esq. 9728 Gillespie Street Las Vegas, NV 89183

PUBLIC REPRIMAND

On or about October 29, 2020, a Formal Hearing Panel of the Northern Nevada Disciplinary Board convened and heard the above-referenced grievance. Based on the evidence presented through the Conditional Guilty Plea in Exchange for a Stated Form of Discipline, the Panel concluded that you violated the Rules of Professional Conduct ("RPC") and should be issued a Public Reprimand. This letter shall constitute a delivery of that reprimand.

On or about March 6, 2012, John Di Francesco and Bob Feron (hereinafter "clients") retained the Law Offices of Brian C. Padgett to represent them in a lawsuit related to the Truckee River Flood Management Project. You were the primary attorney assigned to their case. RPC 1.2 (Scope of Representation and Allocation of Authority Between Client and Lawyer) states, in pertinent part, that a lawyer "shall abide by a client's decision concerning the objectives of representation" and "shall consult with the client as to the means by which they are to be pursued. You failed to abide by your

clients' decisions to set the matter for trial and schedule depositions at the times requested by the clients. This type of ethical breach potentially caused injury to your clients.

RPC 1.3 (Diligence) states that a lawyer "shall act with reasonable diligence and promptness in representing a client." Further, RPC 3.2 (Expediting Litigation) states that a lawyer "shall make reasonable efforts to expedite litigation consistent with the interests of the client." You failed to reschedule your clients' matter for trial prior to the expiration of the Five-Year Rule and failed to promptly file responsive pleadings. This type of ethical breach potentially caused injury to your clients.

RPC 1.4 (Communication) states, in pertinent part, that a lawyer shall "[k]eep the client reasonably informed about the status of a matter" and "explain a matter to the extent reasonably necessary to permit the client to make informed decisions regarding the representation." You failed to reasonably inform your clients of when pleadings would be filed and/or failed to inform them of whether any depositions would be scheduled and/or taken. This type of ethical breach caused injury to your clients.

Under ABA Standard 4.42, suspension is generally appropriate when a lawyer fails to perform services for a client, or engages in a pattern of neglect, and causes injury or potential injury to a client. You neglectfully failed to perform certain services for your clients' case. Although it was shown that you believed you had an agreement wherein opposing counsel would not move to dismiss based on the Five-Year Rule, this was not sufficiently documented from the evidence presented. Based on your absence of a prior disciplinary record and dishonest/selfish motive, your cooperative attitude toward the instant proceedings, and your remorse for your actions, mitigation of your disciplinary sanction is appropriate.

Accordingly, you are hereby REPRIMANDED for violating RPC 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, and 3.2. In addition, pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 120(3), you are required to remit to the State Bar of Nevada the amount of \$1,500 within 30 days of this letter. I trust that this reprimand will serve as a reminder to you of your ethical obligations, and that no such problems will arise in the future.

DATED this 26th day of January, 2021.

Katherine H. Lyon Katherine H. Lyon (Jan 26, 2021 09:19 PST)

Katherine H. Lyon, Esq. Hearing Panel Chair

Northern Nevada Disciplinary Board