Case No.: OBC18-0784

STATE BAR OF NEVADA

SOUTHERN NEVADA DISCIPLINARY BOARD

STATE BAR OF NEVADA,)
Complainant,)
vs.)
ROBERT EUGENE GLENNEN, ESQ., Nevada Bar No. 2143,)
Respondent.)

PUBLIC REPRIMAND

TO: Robert Eugene Glennen, Esq. P.O. Box 73
286 Main Street
Goldfield, NV 89013

Ana Ariza ("Ariza") retained you in a family-law matter filed on August 29, 2014. The opposing party filed a motion seeking to deny paternity, to remove his name from birth certificates, and to terminate orders for support. You did not file a timely opposition to the motion. The Court held a hearing regarding the motion. You arrived late to the hearing. Prior to your arrival the Court called the case and the Court, hearing no opposition to the motion, granted it. You filed a motion for reconsideration which the Court denied. You filed an appeal with the Nevada Supreme Court, which entered an Order of Reversal and Remand.

The Court set a hearing on the remand. You were present at the hearing and the Court ordered Ariza to obtain a DNA test and a status check was scheduled. The Court ordered you to prepare a

proposed Order. You failed to prepare the Order as directed by the Court. You also failed to appear at

the status check. The Court entered an Order to Show Cause and Set Status Check Hearing. You

appeared at that hearing and submitted the Order for DNA testing. The next status hearing was

scheduled for June 25, 2018. On June 22, 2018 you and opposing counsel entered a stipulation to

continue the status hearing. The Court continued the hearing. You did not notify Ariza of the

continuance. Ariza drove from California to be present for the hearing. Ariza arrived and called you

to inquire why no one was at the hearing. You were not available to answer her questions.

Your conduct caused harm to your client. Your client suffered needless worry, frustration, and

anxiety. She had to make an unnecessary trip from California for a proceeding that had been

continued by stipulation. Your conduct also delayed court proceedings and caused harm to the legal

system.

Rule 1.3 (Diligence) requires a lawyer to meet deadlines and appear at scheduled legal

proceedings. Rule 1.4 (Communication) requires lawyers to promptly convey important information

about the client's matter. You failed to uphold these ethical standards.

In light of the foregoing, you violated RPC 1.3 (Diligence) and RPC 1.4 (Communication) and

are hereby PUBLICLY REPRIMANDED.

DATED this 23rd day of Sypt., 2019.

Russell E. West

Russell E. Marsh, Esq., Hearing Panel Chair

Southern Nevada Disciplinary Board