



FILED

APR 07 2016

STATE BAR OF NEVADA
BY: *[Signature]*
OFFICE OF BAR COUNSEL

1 Case Nos.: SG13-0323, SG13-0520,
2 SG14-0667

3
4 STATE BAR OF NEVADA

5 SOUTHERN NEVADA DISCIPLINARY BOARD

6 STATE BAR OF NEVADA,)
7 Complainant,)
8 vs.)
9 KENNETH G. FRIZZELL, III, ESQ.,)
10 Nevada Bar No. 6303,)
11 Respondent.)

12 PUBLIC REPRIMAND

13 TO: KENNETH G. FRIZZELL, III, ESQ.,

14 This public reprimand is issued pursuant to a "Conditional Guilty Plea" you entered on
15 April 7, 2016.

16 One of your clients (SG13-0323) was arrested for DUI and incarcerated at the
17 Henderson Detention Center. The client's mother was contacted by an individual claiming to
18 be you or a member of your office staff, and was advised that her son had been arrested and
19 was in jail. The client's mother was told by this individual that he could arrange for her son to
20 be bailed out of jail, and that you could represent the son on his case for \$1,500.00. You
21 were then retained, and money was paid to you. One or more members of your staff advised
22 the client's mother how to obtain a temporary driver's license and arrange bail for her son.
23 You were not present for any of these events, and you did not speak with the client or his
24 mother until after returning from an out-of-state trip. Your negligence in supervising your
25

1 staff led to an improper intake procedure being followed here.

2 Another of your clients (SG13-0520) was arrested and incarcerated for DUI. You
3 were retained while the client was still in custody. A few days after being released from jail,
4 your client met with a nonlawyer assistant at your office. You were not present at this
5 meeting. At this meeting, your nonlawyer assistant told the client that this would be a routine
6 DUI case, despite the BAC of .385. In addition, the client was told by your nonlawyer
7 assistant that the only time his license would be in jeopardy would be at a Department of
8 Motor Vehicles hearing. Your client was not told by your nonlawyer assistant that a plea of
9 guilty to DUI could entail a ninety-day suspension of his license. Nor was your client told that
10 a plea of guilty to DUI could entail jail time. Your negligence in supervising and directing
11 your staff led to incomplete and incorrect information being given to your client- some of it
12 being information that should have come from you, the attorney.

13 In the third matter (SG14-0667), one of your nonlawyer assistants was the "citation
14 manager" for "The Ticket Fixer," an entity that handled traffic matters. You had performed
15 legal work under "The Ticket Fixer" entity. This nonlawyer assistant was responsible for
16 most client intake and communication, operating and upkeeping the 'theticketfixer.com'
17 website and registry, and all other business operations of 'theticketfixer.com' business except
18 providing legal advice and service. You and this nonlawyer assistant were both signatories
19 on a bank account opened specifically for "The Ticket Fixer" business. The management
20 and ownership of "The Ticket Fixer" business, as well as interests in associated intellectual
21 property and a website domain name, was later disputed in federal court between yourself,
22 the same nonlawyer assistant, a client, and that client's mother. That case ended in a
23 dismissal. Your negligence in supervision led to your nonlawyer assistant overstepping his
24 appropriate bounds, and taking on more responsibility than he should in your business
25 affairs.

