1	Case Nos. OBC17-0374 OBC17-0553
2	OBC17-0333
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	STATE BAR
8	SOUTHERN NEVADA I
9	STATE BAR OF NEVADA,
10	Complainant,
11	vs.) LEILA HALE, ESQ.,) NV BAR NO. 7368
12	Respondent.
13	To Lette I Hele Fee
14	To: Leila L. Hale, Esq. C/O: William B. Terry, Esq.
15	530 South Seventh Streel Las Vegas, NV 89101
16	Counsel for Leila Hale.
17	Rochelle Mortensen ("Mortensen") and
18	represent them in personal injury matters. In both m
19	attorney employee, Fermin G. Serafin ("Serafin")
20	presented the potential clients with retainer agree
21	including a HIPP A release, a general authorization
22	reporting form, and a power of attorney form . Pursu
23	read through these documents with Mortensen and I
24	

25



PUBLIC REPRIMAND

STATE BAR OF NEVADA SOUTHERN NEVADA DISCIPLINARY BOARD

C/O: William B. Terry, Esq.		
530 South Seventh Streel Las Vegas, NV 89101		
Counsel for Leila Hale.		
Rochelle Mortensen ("Mortensen") and Mahogeny Bennett ("Bennett") retained you to		
present them in personal injury matters. In both matters, you, pursuant to office policy, sent your non-		
torney employee, Fermin G. Serafin ("Serafin") to conduct 'home visits' . In these visits, Serafin		
resented the potential clients with retainer agreements as well as various other legal documents.		
cluding a HIPP A release, a general authorization, a Medicare, Medicaid, and SCI-HP Extension act		
porting form, and a power of attorney form . Pursuant to your office policy, Serafin		
ad through these documents with Mortensen and Bennett.		

In addition, in the Bennet matter, Serafin advised Bennett regarding potential attorney's liens that may be filed by her already-retained counsel if she were to switch counsel. Serafin also advised Bennett that it would be best to have one attorney handle both matters.

Pursuant to the decision of the Supreme Court, these home visits constituted the unauthorized practice of law, and, as such, Serafin's actions under your supervision constitute a violation of Rule of Professional Conduct 5.3 (Responsibilities Regarding Non-Lawyer Assistants).

Further, in both the Bennett and Mortensen matters, the retainer agreement presented contained a provision which, in the event of withdraw by Hale Law, or the early discharge of Hale Law called for, at a minimum, a "combined firm rate" of \$1,000 per hour for "all attorney and staff time".

As this panel found, such an agreement is unreasonable and violates Rule of Professional Conduct 1.5 (Fees).

In light of your violation of Rules of Professional Conduct 1.5 and 5.3, you are hereby PUBLICLY REPRIMANDED.

DATED this 28 day of January, 2020.

SOUTHERN NEVADA DISCIPLINARY BOARD

Christopher J. Laurent, Esq., Formal Hearing Panel Chair